

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/731,336	/731,336 12/09/2003		Hideto Sugawara	81912.0017	3548
26021	7590	02/10/2005	EXAMINER		INER
		SON L.L.P.	LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN		
500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGE	ELES, CA	90071-2611	2811		
				DATE MALLED ON GOODS	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/731,336	SUGAWARA, HIDETO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven Loke	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	December 2004					
<u> </u>	is action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>		secution as to the merits is				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement					
Application Papers						
<u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	onty documents have been receive					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
and a second a second a second for a lie	and definited depicts flot footive					
A44-2-h						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	△ □ 1-4- · •	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(P10-413) ate				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/9/03.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 7-11 in the reply filed on 12/14/04 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/14/04.
- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should disclose the structure of the device instead of the method to make the device. Correction is required.
- 4. Figures 11 and 12 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 110 (page 4, line 5). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being

amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In page 21, lines 14-15, the phrase "fist conductive type" is unclear whether it is being referred to "first conductive type".

Appropriate correction is required.

7. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear where is the first conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer in the light emitting device of claim 7. Is the first conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer being formed on the substrate?

It is unclear whether the p-n junction in line 6 of claim 7 is similar to the p-n junction in line 4 of claim 7.

Claim 9, line 2, the word "resses" is unclear whether it is being referred to "recesses".

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

9. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hayashi et al. (in the IDS filed on 12/9/03).

In regards to claim 7, Hayashi et al. show all the elements of the claimed invention in fig. 3F. It is a nitride based semiconductor light-emitting device [100], comprising: a substrate [1]; a first conductive type (n-type) nitride based semiconductor layer [4]; an active layer [7] (n-lnGaN barrier layer/n-lnGaN well layer) with a p-n junction (formed between the n-type active layer [7] and the p-type cladding layer [8]) formed on said first conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer [4], said active layer being made of a nitride based semiconductor layer having a p-n junction (formed between the n-type active layer [7] and the p-type cladding layer [8]); a second conductive type (p-type) nitride based semiconductor layer [9] formed on said active layer [7], said second conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer [9] being provided with uneven portions formed on a surface thereof; a first ohmic electrode [10] formed on the surface of said second conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer [9]; and a second ohmic electrode [11] formed on said first conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer [9].

In regards to claim 8, Hayashi et al. further disclose the uneven portions are protrusions.

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi et al.

In regards to claim 11, Hayashi et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing the second conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer is made from p-type InGaAIN system materials.

It would have been obvious for the second conductive type nitride based semiconductor layer is made from p-type InGaAIN system materials because it depends on the desired contact resistance and the turn-on voltage of the light-emitting device.

- 12. Claims 9 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The first major difference in the claims not found in the prior art of record is the protrusions have fine recesses on a surface thereof. The second major difference in the claims not found in the prior art of record is the surface of said protrusions includes regions out of stoichiometric compositions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (571) 272-1657. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:50 am to 5:20 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sl

February 6, 2005

Stere Loke